

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

TEAM DOMINICAN DELI GROCERY CORP.,

Plaintiff,

JUDICIAL REVIEW OF AGENCY DECISION

AND JURY DEMAND

-AGAINST-

Docket No.

1) UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE;
2) FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE;

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff, TEAM DOMINICAN DELI GROCERY CORP., by his attorney Charlie A. Vargas, Esq., of the Law Office of Charlie Vargas, PC, for his federal complaint against the above Defendants alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is a federal action in which Plaintiff seeks relief through Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2023) and to Section 279.7 of the Regulations (7 CFR § 279.7) with respect to a judicial review of the final determination made by the Defendants.

2. The Plaintiff files this Complaint and Petitioner for Review of the Food and Nutrition Service's ("FNS") Final Agency Decision permanently disqualifying TEAM DOMINICAN DELI GROCERY CORP. from participating in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP").

3. The claim arises from analysis of EBT transaction data from June 2019 through November 2019 investigated by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) surrounding the store, TEAM DOMINICAN DELI

GROCERY CORP.

4. Plaintiff brings this action not only to challenge the final agency decision that he did not meet his burden of proving beyond a preponderance of the evidence that he did not traffic EBT benefits.

5. The Court has federal question jurisdiction over this matter by reason of Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2023) and to Section 279.7 of the Regulations (7 CFR § 279.7.)

6. The Plaintiff alleges, through prior representation, that at all times relevant to this action, the Plaintiff provided relevant information for the agency to review. That in support of his position, he submitted (1) vendor invoices (2) customer statements; (3) photos, and (4) training information.

7. Pursuant Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2023 (a) (17), the Plaintiff is also seeking to stay the proceedings pending Judicial Review of the FNS's Final Agency Decision. Section 2023 (a) (17) states in its entirety:

During the pendency of such judicial review, or any appeal therefrom, the administrative action under review shall be and remain in full force and effect, unless on application to the court on not less than ten days' notice, and after hearing thereon and a consideration by the court of the applicant's likelihood of prevailing on the merits and of irreparable injury, the court temporarily stays such administrative action pending disposition of such trial or appeal.

8. Plaintiff asserts the sufficient evidence to support the store characteristics, more specifically the nature and scope of the firm's operations, stock and facilities, that justified the firm's alleged irregular SNAP transactions.

9. Plaintiff alleges that the unusual transaction patterns were due to recipient's close

proximity as opposed to other authorized SNAP authorized stores.

10. Plaintiff alleges that the large transactions are consistent with the store's observed characteristics and food inventory. That only 14 transactions are above \$60.00 and zero transactions were above \$100. In support of Plaintiff's contentions, prior representative submitted for review its invoices from Jetro Cash & Carry, Farmer's Choice Dairy, and Goya 04, as evidence of their inventory expenses to justify the transactions.

JURY DEMAND

14. In free exercise and enjoyment of FRCivP Rule 38 and the 7th Amendment, Plaintiff requests a jury trial.

VENUE

15. Venue is laid within the Southern District of New York in that Plaintiff's place of business is located within and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred within the boundaries of the Southern District. 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b) and (c).

Relief Sought in a Court of Law

204. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully demands a stay in the FNS'S Final Agency Decision pending the outcome of this matter:

- a) A declaratory judgment that Defendants as described above,
- b) A judicial review of FNS' Final Agency Decision;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, expert witness fees, other litigation expenses, fees, and costs,

d) Granting such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled as a matter of law or equity, or which the court determines to be just and proper, including, but not limited to equitable relief under Section 14 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (7 U.S.C. 2023) and to Section 279.7 of the Regulations (7 CFR Sect. 279.7)

Respectfully Submitted,

Law Office of Charlie A. Vargas, P.C


Charlie A. Vargas, Esq.

26 Court Street, Suite 1406

Brooklyn, New York 11242

718 596 0025

Email: charlie@cvargaslaw.com